

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,837	02/17/2006	Emile Johannes Karel Verstegen	NL030998	6992
24737 7590 12/18/2007 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS		EXAM	INER	
P.O. BOX 3001			TOLIN, MICHAEL A	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1791	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/568,837	VERSTEGEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael A. Tolin	1791			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply	A LO OST TO SYDIDS A MONTH	O) OD TUBETY (00) DAYO			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	. the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Fe	ebruary 2006.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		•			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.					
, =	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	1			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>					
	, ,				
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.			
	·				
Attachment(s)		·			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

. .

10/568,837 Art Unit: 1791

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

**Group I**, claim(s) claims 1-11, drawn to an adhesive composition.

**Group II**, claim(s) claims 12-15 and 17, drawn to a method of bonding.

**Group III**, claim(s) 16, drawn to a liquid immersion objective lens assembly.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The common technical feature between identified groups I-III is a particular adhesive composition set forth in claim 1. As claimed, claim 1 appears to require a monomer selected from the claimed list. Since the thiol momomer is listed as one of the alternative monomers, the composition of claim 1 does not require the presence of a thiol monomer. However, even if claim 1 requires a thiol monomer in combination with a non-thiol monomer, such compositions are generally known. See Morgan (US 4020233; Abstract; columns 2 and 3). See Ha et al. (US 2002/0032251; paragraphs 15-

Application/Control Number:

10/568,837 Art Unit: 1791

20, 78-95). As to the limitation of "non-leaching", such requires no more than formulating the adhesive to completely cure. Ha shows practically complete curing (Figure 2) and Morgan combines components in proper ratios for allowing complete cure (col. 3, lines 59-68; col. 4, lines 1-14). Alternatively, "non-leaching" requires the capability of being applied to substrates which are impermeable to the composition, such as glass substrates. The compositions of Morgan and Ha are clearly capable of being applied to glass substrates. Since the common technical feature of the identified groups is known, the identified groups of claims lack a common special technical feature which distinguishes the invention over the prior art.

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

**Species II-A**: A method of making a liquid immersion objective.

**Species II-B**: A method of making a catheter.

**Species II-C**: A method of making a biosensor.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number:

10/568.837

Art Unit: 1791

Page 4

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration

of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the

elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following

manner:

Species II-A: claims 12-15

Species II-B: claim 17

Species II-C: claim 17

The following claim(s) are generic: No claims are generic.

4. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept

under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or

corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The common technical feature between identified species II-A, II-B, and II-C is

the use of a particular adhesive composition set forth in claim 1. As noted above with

respect to the restriction between groups I-III, the common technical feature is known in

the prior art and thus does not constitute a special technical feature which distinguishes

the invention over the prior art.

**Application/Control Number:** 

10/568,837 Art Unit: 1791

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

10/568,837 Art Unit: 1791

## Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael A. Tolin whose telephone number is 571-272-8633. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 571-272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael A. Tolin

RICHARD CRISPINO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTED 1700